Previous references.

1907-8

(Sepi Revs)

File No. 55-02

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

B Dec 12 SL 1-2 3R 63-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B. for may 08

SUBJECT.

Inamdars claim to the toddy excise revenue of Jodi Bhokkanahalli Doddballapur Tq

---

1) From the Excise Commr No. 5496/356 & 10.3.03 submits with his opinion the records of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Bhokkanahalli Jodi village, Doddballapur Tq

2) To the Excise Commr — No. 158 & 20.8.03 points out certain defects in the enquiry of the case & calls for additional information on certain points connected therewith

3) From the Excise Commr — No. 8839 & 15.4.08 resubmits the records & states that under the circumstances represented, the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy excise revenue

G. R. 39. 58

(i) To the Excise Commissioner
and Comptroller

No. 7E. 5751 – 2 & 6.5.08

Disallows the Edavandar's claim to the toddy excise revenue of the village.

G.R.S. 11]

1907-08.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Sep Rev)

NOTES.

SR File. No. 55-02

FILE HEADING.

Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Jodi Chokkanahalli, Dodballapur Tq

From

The Excise Comr

No. 5496/354 Dated 10/3/03 Received 11.3.03.

Diary No. 366.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits with his opinion the records enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Chokkanahalli Jodi village, Dodballapur Tq

~~NOTES AND ORDERS.~~

2. To the Excise Comr No. 158 ~~Ex. 16-07~~ 26/8/03

Points out certain defects in the enquiry of the case and calls for additional information on certain points connected therewith.

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

(2

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 55 of 1902-03.

Serial No.

3. From the Excise Comr.
No 8839 of 15.4.08.
Submits the records relating to the Inamdar's claim and states that under the circumstances represented, the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy excise revenue of the village.
The Inamdars have not substantiated their figures supplied with a draft disallowing the claim
The 2 sutra chits referred to are only copies & not the originals [It is not clear whether the originals are executed on prescribed stamps]
Rules 82 & 17 do not seem to apply to the case.
The trees of this village do not seem to have been sold during the rent farming system that was in force as an independent farm. The accounts for

No. 5496/354 Sue 1 file

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore 9th/10th March 1903

From

P. N. Bhimaiya Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire B.A. B.L.

Secty. to the Govt of H. H.

the Maharaja of Mysore

Gen and Rev Depts.

Sir

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of Chikkanahalli Jodi village, Toobgere Hobli, Doddaballapur taluk I have the honor to state that I concur in the investigating Officers' findings that the Jodidars are not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy, as it is not conceded by Sanad or by Inam Settlement. The Gutta Chits produced by them simply show that the date trees of their village were leased to Government Toddy Contractors. Therefore only 1/5 of the rent of the "Grove Farm" of their village is payable to them under Rule 4 in Government Proceedings No. 2074-83/R.T. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

P N Bhimaiya

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

10

E 4366

OFFICE OF THE ... Regd 10011 11 MAR 1903

1903

FROM P. Narayanappa Esq B.A.
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

✓ SR 55-02-1

To M. V. Sanjeevadayya Esq M.A.:
Secty. to the Govt of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore
Gen and Rev Depts.

Dated 9th
Despatched 10th } March. 1903
Received

General No. 5496
No. 354

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits with opinion the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue of the Chahanahalli Kadi in the Tobgare Hobli Doddaballapur Talk

Encl
11-3-03

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

Sch 55 02 8(2)

Read:--

The marginally noted letters from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore submitting the records of enquiring into the claims to excise revenue of the Inams noted in the Margin.

Letter No.5602/364 on the Inamdar's claim to toddy Revenue of Giddanhalli village, Anekal Taluk.
Letter No.6885/469 on the Inamdar's claim to toddy revenue of Gavipalya village, Nelamangala Taluk.
Letter No.4968/336 -do- -do- Aradesahalli, Devanhalli taluk.
Letter No.4968/339 -do- -do- Golhalli, Devanhalli Taluk.
Letter No.5001/339 -do- -do- Arasanahalli, Reddanahalli, -do- Taluk.
Letter No.5451/359 -do- -do- Mahanatalingapura, Anekal Taluk.
Letter No.5499/357 -do- -do- Itagalpura, Doddaballapur Taluk.
Letter No.5496/354 -do- -do- Chakanahalli, -do- -do-
Letter No.5663/365 -do- -do- Ramasandara, Aekal Taluk.

Order thereon No.158/Ex.F.16-01.

Dated, Bangalore, April 1908. 20/8/03

In every case read above the investigating officer, Mr B.J. Kumarasami Naik, B.A., records the findings that the claimants are entitled to $\frac{1}{5}$ of tree-rent up to 98-99 and they are entitled to full tree rent for the succeeding years.

2. Government regret to observe that the decision is incorrect and the enquiry is incomplete. The first portion of the decision being under rule 4 of Government Proceeding No.1074-33/R.F.166-92-1, dated the 2nd August 1901, of grove Firm rent towards tree rent up to 96-97 alone ought to have been awarded.

3. The needful information for the second finding of the investigating officer which falls under rules 8 and 17 of the Proceeding quoted above, was not before him; and hence it is a decision based on no evidence.

4. The Excise Commissioner states he concurs with the investigating officer; but awards 4/5 of Gorve Farm rent only under rule 6 without noticing the other finding of the latter.

5. The decision and the enquiry being ~~the~~ defective, Government direct that the records of enquiry be remitted to the investigating officer for completing the enquiry and for recording a correct and full decision.

Sd/- H. V. Nanjundayya

~~For~~ Secretary to Government.

To

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

D. 3966

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Mysore,

No. 8839

Dated Bangalore, 15th April 1908.

SR 55-02-3.

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire.,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B. A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith the records of ---- enquiry relating to the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Jodi Chokkanahalli village in the Dodballapur Taluk togeth with a copy of the Inam register of enquiry of the village and to state that I concur in the opinions recorded both by the investigating Officer and the Deputy Commissioner that the Inamdar is not en -titled to the toddy Excise Revenue of the village.

2. The village was granted to one Venkata Jois by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur under a sannad dated 3rd. October 1812. No mention is made of toddy revenue in the sannad nor is there anything else to infer that the right was conce At the Inam settlement which was conducted in the year 1879 the Inam Assistant Mr. Syed Nussoruddin has recorded that Sayer and Abkari are State items and the Jodidars have no claims thereto and that their sannad does not confer any such concession on them. The Inam Superintendent has reserved to Government all rights to Sayer and Abkari.

3. The Inamdar has produced in proof of his enjoyment two gutta chits, one dated 9-10-1872 and the other dated 6-11-1874. These are agreements to pay at a certain rate rent on trees tapped by the --- contractors and do not relate to any toddy Excise Revenue.

4. There

4. There is no toddy shop in the village but there is a Date grov The sannad alienating the village and the Inam settlement held in 1879 have reserved the Abkari Revenue to Government. Nor is the claim to the toddy Excise Revenue supported by enjoyment. The Inamdar is ther -fore entitled only to tree-rent from the contractors whenever trees are tapped in his village. Payment will be regulated by rules 4,8 and 16 contained in Government Order No. 2074-83-R.A. 166-92, dated 2nd. August 1901.

5. On receipt of the orders of Government on the claim the amount payable to the Inamdar will be checked and verified and a refund bill submitted for sanction. As regards the delay in the submission of the records and the difficulty of ascertaining the exact amount payable to the Inamdar reference is kindly invited to the 3 copies of the marginally noted correspondence submitted herewith.

1. Letter No: A203 dated 27th December 1907 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
2. Letter No: A.11.46 dated 23rd April 1907, from the Senior Asst: Comr, Doddballapur Division to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
3. Docket No: 44 dated 16th September 1905 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

for Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

A 203

Bangalore District

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Dated. 26/27th December 1907.

From,

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To,

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 98, dated 19/21st September 1905, returning certain files of records relating to the enquiry into the toddy claims, I have the honor to retransmit herewith the files of the marginally noted villages received with the Dodballapur Sub Division, Assistant Commissioner's letter No. 1146, dated 23rd April 1907 (copy of which is enclosed) and to state as follows:-

The amounts due to the Inamdars of the several villages quoted above under Rule 4 of Government Proceedings No. 2074-83/R.F.166-9, dated 2nd August 1907, are recorded in the revised calendars of each case submitted by Mr. Kumaraswami Naick.

1. Ganpaiya. Nelamangala Taluk
2. Shikharanahalli } Dodballapur Taluk
3. Sigaipura
4. Ardesahalli
5. Arsanahalli } Devanhalli Taluk
Peddanahalli
6. Gollahalli

2. It would appear from the records that these Inamdars have been allowed to lease out the toddy trees themselves subsequent to 1896-97, and as such they are not entitled to get anything on account of rent during the year 1897-98 in which preliminary tree tax system was introduced and the subsequent years in which the tree tax system was brought into force.

3. The groves of the Inam villages of Ardesahalli, Arsanahalli, Peddanahalli and Gollahalli in the Devanhalli

Taluk

Taluk and Gavepalya in the Nelamangala Taluk were not sold independently but sold clubbed together with other villages from 1892-93 to 1894-95. Thus the amounts awarded for these years in the calenders of these villages appear to have been arrived at by the ~~appointment~~ apportionment of the grove farms rent among the villages whose groves were sold together. The other files of records relating to the villages of giddenahalli, Mantalingapura and Komasundra referred to in the letter under reference will be submitted soon after their receipt from the Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore Sub Division.

I have the honor to be etc.,

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

" C O P Y."

for Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

From

K. R. Sreenevasiengar Esquire,

Senior Assistant Commissioner,

Doddballapur.

To,

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

Dated 18th April 1907.

No. 1146.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 127, dated 19th October 1906, forwarding for further enquiry the papers relating to the claims of today revenue of the Inamdars of the marginally noted villages, I have the honor to report as follows:-

1. Ganpalya Melamangalam TK
2. Chikkamahalli
3. Dhagalpura
Doddballapur TK
4. Ardenahalli
5. Aravenahalli
Peddamahalli
6. Gollahalli
Devanahalli Taluk

From the enquiry held by my predecessors, it is clear that the Inamdars of none of the 6 villages in question are ~~liable~~ entitled to the today revenue of these villages. The only question for determination is the amount of refund to which these Inamdars may be entitled under Rules 4, 8 and 17 of the Rules published in Government Order No. 2074-85/R.F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901.

2. As regards the claims of the Inamdars for 1/5 of the grove farm rent under rule 4, the revised calendars of each case submitted by Mr. Kumarswami Naick give the grove farm rents in the villages in question realized during the years 1892-93 to 1896-97 and the 1/5 portion thereof refundable to the Inamdars. I am unable to make out where from the figures given in the calendars for the grove farm rentals during the

above

above five years were obtained. I see that these figures differ slightly from those furnished to me by the Amildars to whom I made a reference in the matter. I may also add that I have not been able to obtain from the District office full information regarding the grove farm rentals realized during the five years in question.

3. As regards the claims under Rules 8 and 17 notices were issued to the Inamdars and such of them as appeared were heard in support of their claims; none of them could give definite and accurate information which would entitle them to any refund of tree rent. It is ascertained from Deputy Commissioner's yadi No. 158, dated 9th November 1906, and also from the reports of the Amildars that from the years 1897-98 the date trees in the Inam villages in question were leased out by the Inamdars themselves and that Government did not place any restriction on their so leasing out the trees or recover any tree rent from trees leased out in these villages Hence no refund is due to the Inamdars of these villages towards tree rent under Rules 16 and 17 either for the year 1897-98 when the preliminary tree tax system was brought into force or during the subsequent years when the tree tax system was fully into operation.

4. I desire to invite your attention to the statement submitted by the Amildar of Devanhalli therefrom it is seen that a sum of Rs. 350-3-8 has already been advanced to the Inamdars of Gollahalli though the amount refundable to him under rule 4 amounts to only Rs. 98-6-5.

5. The records received with the memo under report as to the records of further enquiries held in this office herewith submitted as per list.

I have the honor to be etc.
(Sd) Thumboo Chetty,
for Senior Assistant Commissioner,
Dodballapur Division.

" C O P Y."

(Sd) D. Devaraj Urs,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

" C O P Y."

for Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

10

1905-06

From
D. Devanayan Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
P. V. Punnaya Esq. B.A.,
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated

Despatched 16th September 1905

Received

General No. 464
No.

Enclosure No. Record

Sir docket No. 32, dated 22nd August 1905, returning the file of papers relating to the claims to toddy revenue of Jodi Chakkanahalli village in Doddballapur Taluk for retransmission with the Deputy Commissioner's opinion on the findings of the investigating officer

In retransmitting the enclosures of the above, has the honour to state that the undersigned

undersigned agrees with the report of the investigating Officer

(sd) D. Devaraj Urs
Deputy Commissioner

Copy

Meenakshi
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

12

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 55-02

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 3966

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

the 190

Date of issue 6th May 08

Signed by M C Venkatiah

READ— Letter no 8839 dt 15/4/08 from the Resde Comdt in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the lands enjoyed by Jodi Chokkanaliallu Sub. gere holeli, Dodballapur Taluk, Bangalore dist

ORDER THEREON.—No.

No H 5751-2 Order thereon no

dt 6-5-08

The Secretary speaking

over

SUBJECT

the village has not alienated the body, & ever present to the inamdars & the share settlement conducted in 1879 has restored it to good. The inamdars have not otherwise ~~understanded~~ their claim ~~right~~ concerning therefore with the Govt. & the Revd. Commr. Good. Consider that the inamdars are entitled to only 1/5 of the grove share for the years 1892-93 to 1896-97 under rule 4 in G.O. No 2074-83/Rt.166-92 of 2/8/01

2. ~~The Govt. may be requested to see if the originals of the two sutra chits refd to~~

The may be furnished

Sd
30 Apr 05

3966

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

How can he unless the originals are actually before him.

The stamp law would become an engine of oppression if working on the assumption that documents might have been stamped

Pricel

Note to Superintendent. Please relate to the file the collector

[illegible] 29.4.08

[illegible] 215

If the Excise Comr. have been executed on proper stamps and if not to proceed deal with them under the Stamp Act

By

[illegible]

To the Excise Comr. return record

The Comptroller

[illegible] 29.4.08

[illegible]

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial (Separate Revenue), dated 6th May 1908.

READ—

Letter No. 8839, dated the 15th April 1908, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy excise revenue of Jodi Chokkanahalli, Tubgere hobli, Dodballapur Taluk, Bangalore District.

No. FL. 5751-2—S. R. 55-02-4, DATED BANGALORE, 6TH MAY 1908.

ORDER THEREON.—The sannad granting the village has not alienated the toddy excise revenue to the Inamdars and the Inam Settlement conducted in 1879 has reserved it to Government. The Inamdars have not otherwise substantiated their claim. Concurring therefore with the Deputy Commissioner and the Excise Commissioner, Government consider that the Inamdars are entitled to only one-fifth of the grove farm rent for the years 1892-93 to 1896-97, under rule 4, in Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated the 2nd August 1901.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd. -C. S.

1907-08

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 6th May 1908

No. Fl. 5751-2 / S. R. 55-02-4

Disallowing the claim of the Inamdars to the toddy excise revenue of Jodi Chokkanahalli, Tubgere hobli, Dodballapur Taluk, Bangalore District.

GPB—29-5-08—200